

## Complete Summary

---

### GUIDELINE TITLE

Clopidogrel in the treatment of non-ST-segment-elevation acute coronary syndrome.

### BIBLIOGRAPHIC SOURCE(S)

National Institute for Clinical Excellence (NICE). Clopidogrel in the treatment of non-ST-segment-elevation acute coronary syndrome. London (UK): National Institute for Clinical Excellence (NICE); 2004 Jul. 24 p. (Technology appraisal; no. 80).

### GUIDELINE STATUS

This is the current release of the guideline.

## COMPLETE SUMMARY CONTENT

SCOPE  
 METHODOLOGY - including Rating Scheme and Cost Analysis  
 RECOMMENDATIONS  
 EVIDENCE SUPPORTING THE RECOMMENDATIONS  
 BENEFITS/HARMS OF IMPLEMENTING THE GUIDELINE RECOMMENDATIONS  
 CONTRAINDICATIONS  
 QUALIFYING STATEMENTS  
 IMPLEMENTATION OF THE GUIDELINE  
 INSTITUTE OF MEDICINE (IOM) NATIONAL HEALTHCARE QUALITY REPORT  
 CATEGORIES  
 IDENTIFYING INFORMATION AND AVAILABILITY  
 DISCLAIMER

## SCOPE

### DISEASE/CONDITION(S)

Non-ST-segment-elevation acute coronary syndrome (including unstable angina and non-ST-segment-elevation myocardial infarction)

### GUIDELINE CATEGORY

Assessment of Therapeutic Effectiveness  
 Treatment

### CLINICAL SPECIALTY

Cardiology  
Family Practice  
Internal Medicine

## INTENDED USERS

Advanced Practice Nurses  
Physician Assistants  
Physicians

## GUIDELINE OBJECTIVE(S)

To assess the effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of clopidogrel in the treatment of non-ST-segment-elevation acute coronary syndrome

## TARGET POPULATION

People who have non-ST-segment-elevation acute coronary syndrome (including unstable angina and non-ST-segment-elevation myocardial infarction)

## INTERVENTIONS AND PRACTICES CONSIDERED

1. Clopidogrel (Plavix®) in combination with low-dose aspirin
2. Assessment of risk for myocardial infarction or death through clinical investigations (e.g., electrocardiography) and measurement of blood levels of cardiac markers

## MAJOR OUTCOMES CONSIDERED

- Cardiovascular death
- Myocardial infarction
- Stroke
- Refractory ischemia
- Severe ischemia
- Heart failure
- Revascularisation
- Unstable angina
- Other vascular events and death
- Adverse events including bleeding complications and haematological parameters
- Quality of life
- Costs from all reported perspectives

## METHODOLOGY

### METHODS USED TO COLLECT/SELECT EVIDENCE

Searches of Electronic Databases  
Searches of Unpublished Data

## DESCRIPTION OF METHODS USED TO COLLECT/SELECT THE EVIDENCE

Note from the National Guideline Clearinghouse (NGC): The National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE) commissioned an independent academic centre to perform a systematic literature review on the technology considered in this appraisal and prepare an assessment report. The assessment report for this technology appraisal was prepared by the Centre for Reviews and Dissemination, University of York (see the "Companion Documents" field).

### Search Strategy

The searches were conducted for both the present report and the parallel appraisal on the clinical effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of clopidogrel and modified-release dipyridamole in the secondary prevention of occlusive vascular events in conjunction.

The following databases were searched for the clinical and cost-effectiveness studies on clopidogrel and dipyridamole treatment.

- The CDSR (Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews)
- Embase (Ovid, 1980 - 2003/07)
- HEED (CD ROM, 1995 - 2003/05)
- HTA (<http://www.york.ac.uk/inst/crd/>) searched 27/05/03
- Inside Conferences (Dialog, 1993 - 2003/05)
- JICST (Dialog, 1985 - 2003/05)
- MEDLINE (Ovid, 1966 - 2003/04)
- NHSEED (<http://www.york.ac.uk/inst/crd/>) searched 27/05/03
- National Research Register (CD ROM, 2003/02)
- PASCAL (Dialog, 1973 - 2003/05)
- SciSearch (Datastar, 1990 - 2003/05)

For the additional searches that were conducted for reviews of the adverse events associated with aspirin use the following databases were searched:

- The CDSR (Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews)
- Embase (Ovid, 1980-2003/07)
- HEED (CD ROM, 2003/09)
- MEDLINE (Ovid, 1966 - 2003/08)
- NHSEED (<http://www.york.ac.uk/inst/crd/>) Searched 10/09/03

A further MEDLINE search was carried out to identify economic costs related to heart disease in the UK. The results from all the searches were entered into an Endnote Library and de-duplicated.

The full strategies are presented in Appendix 2 of the assessment report.

### Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

Two reviewers independently screened the titles and abstracts of the studies identified from all searches and sources. A full paper copy of any study judged to be relevant by either reviewer was obtained where possible. The full copy of the

study was assessed for inclusion by one reviewer and checked for accuracy by a second, using the criteria set out below. Any discrepancies were resolved by discussion and if necessary through consultation with a third reviewer. Studies that did not meet the inclusion criteria were excluded. The bibliographic details of the excluded studies along with the reasons for exclusion are presented in Appendix 3 of the assessment report.

a. Interventions

- Clopidogrel (Plavix®, Bristol-Myers Squib, Sanofi Synthelabo) in combination with aspirin.

Studies in which the combination of clopidogrel and aspirin were administered with concomitant medications commonly prescribed as standard therapy in patients with non-ST-segment elevation acute coronary syndrome (ACS) (e.g. anti-thrombin therapy, nitrates, beta-blockers, glycoprotein IIb / IIIa antagonists, or calcium channel blockers) were included.

b. Participants

- Patients with unstable angina or non-ST-segment-elevation myocardial infarction (NSTEMI) were included. Participants with established peripheral arterial disease or those with a history of myocardial infarction, ischaemic stroke or transient ischaemic attacks were the subject of a parallel appraisal.

c. Study design

- Randomised controlled trials (RCTs) that compared clopidogrel in combination with aspirin to aspirin alone were included in the assessment of clinical effectiveness.
- For the evaluation of adverse events associated with combined aspirin and clopidogrel therapy, RCTs and post-marketing surveillance studies with a clearly defined protocol and denominator were included. For aspirin therapy, as its safety profile is well established, only systematic reviews and meta-analyses were included.
- A broader range of studies were considered in the assessment of cost effectiveness including economic evaluations conducted alongside trials, modelling studies and analyses of administrative databases. Only full economic evaluations that compared two or more options and consider both costs and consequences (including cost-effectiveness, cost-utility and cost-benefit analyses) were included.

d. Outcome measures

See "Major Outcomes Considered" field.

## NUMBER OF SOURCE DOCUMENTS

- In the review of clinical effectiveness, one randomized controlled trial was identified.
- For the assessment of the cost-effectiveness, only one study met the criteria for inclusion in the cost-effectiveness review. In addition, economic evidence was also provided by the manufacturers. A separate cost effectiveness model and accompanying report was submitted by Sanofi-Synthelabo Ltd and Bristol-Myers Squibb.

- Six reviews that examined adverse events associated with long-term aspirin use were identified.

## METHODS USED TO ASSESS THE QUALITY AND STRENGTH OF THE EVIDENCE

Expert Consensus

## RATING SCHEME FOR THE STRENGTH OF THE EVIDENCE

Not applicable

## METHODS USED TO ANALYZE THE EVIDENCE

Systematic Review with Evidence Tables

## DESCRIPTION OF THE METHODS USED TO ANALYZE THE EVIDENCE

### Data Extraction Strategy

Data relating to both study design and quality were extracted by one reviewer and independently checked for accuracy by a second. Data from multiple publications was extracted and reported as a single study. Any disagreements were resolved by discussion, or if necessary through consultation with a third reviewer.

### Quality Assessment Strategy

The quality of the individual studies was assessed by one reviewer and independently checked for agreement by a second. Any disagreements were resolved through consensus, or if necessary through consultation with a third reviewer. The quality of the clinical effectiveness studies was assessed according to criteria based on National Health Service Centre for Reviews and Dissemination (NHS CRD) Report No. 4. The quality of the cost-effectiveness studies was assessed according to a checklist updated from that developed by Drummond et al. This checklist reflects the criteria for economic evaluation detailed in the methodological guidance developed by the National Institute for Clinical Excellence. The quality of the systematic reviews was assessed according to the guidelines for the Database of Reviews of Effect (DARE) criteria. Full details of the quality assessment strategy are reported in appendix 4 of the assessment report.

## METHODS USED TO FORMULATE THE RECOMMENDATIONS

Expert Consensus

## DESCRIPTION OF METHODS USED TO FORMULATE THE RECOMMENDATIONS

Considerations

Technology appraisal recommendations are based on a review of clinical and economic evidence.

### Technology Appraisal Process

The National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE) invites 'consultee' and 'commentator' organisations to take part in the appraisal process. Consultee organisations include national groups representing patients and carers, the bodies representing health professionals, and the manufacturers of the technology under review. Consultees are invited to submit evidence during the appraisal and to comment on the appraisal documents.

Commentator organisations include manufacturers of the products with which the technology is being compared, the National Health Service (NHS) Quality Improvement Scotland and research groups working in the area. They can comment on the evidence and other documents but are not asked to submit evidence themselves.

NICE then commissions an independent academic centre to review published evidence on the technology and prepare an 'assessment report'. Consultees and commentators are invited to comment on the report. The assessment report and the comments on it are then drawn together in a document called the evaluation report.

An independent Appraisal Committee then considers the evaluation report. It holds a meeting where it hears direct, spoken evidence from nominated clinical experts, patients, and carers. The Committee uses all the evidence to make its first recommendations, in a document called the 'appraisal consultation document' (ACD). NICE sends all the consultees and commentators a copy of this document and posts it on the NICE website. Further comments are invited from everyone taking part.

When the Committee meets again it considers any comments submitted on the ACD; then it prepares its final recommendations in a document called the 'final appraisal determination' (FAD). This is submitted to NICE for approval.

Consultees have a chance to appeal against the final recommendations in the FAD. If there are no appeals, the final recommendations become the basis of the guidance that NICE issues.

### Who is on the Appraisal Committee?

NICE technology appraisal recommendations are prepared by an independent committee. This includes health professionals working in the NHS and people who are familiar with the issues affecting patients and carers. Although the Appraisal Committee seeks the views of organisations representing health professionals, patients, carers, manufacturers and government, its advice is independent of any vested interests.

## RATING SCHEME FOR THE STRENGTH OF THE RECOMMENDATIONS

Not applicable

## COST ANALYSIS

Of the cost-effectiveness evidence reviewed, only the manufacturer's submission was considered relevant from the perspective of the National Health Service (NHS). The review of this evidence highlighted potential limitations within the submission in its use of data and in the model structure used. These limitations led to the development of a new model with the aim of providing a more reliable estimate of the cost-effectiveness from the perspective of the UK NHS.

See Section 4.2 of the original guideline document for a detailed discussion of the cost-effectiveness analysis.

## METHOD OF GUIDELINE VALIDATION

External Peer Review

## DESCRIPTION OF METHOD OF GUIDELINE VALIDATION

Consultee organizations from the following groups were invited to comment on the draft scope, Assessment Report and the Appraisal Consultation Document (ACD) and were provided with the opportunity to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination.

- Manufacturer/sponsors
- Professional/specialist and patient/carer groups
- Commentator organisations (without the right of appeal)

In addition, individuals selected from clinical expert and patient advocate nominations from the professional/specialist and patient/carer groups were also invited to comment on the ACD.

## RECOMMENDATIONS

### MAJOR RECOMMENDATIONS

- Clopidogrel, in combination with low-dose aspirin, is recommended for use in the management of non-ST segment-elevation acute coronary syndrome (ACS) in people who are at moderate to high risk of myocardial infarction (MI) or death.
- For the purposes of this guidance, moderate to high risk of MI or death in people presenting with non-ST-segment elevation ACS can be determined by clinical signs and symptoms, accompanied by one or both of the following:
  - the results of clinical investigations, such as new electrocardiogram (ECG) changes (other than persistent ST-segment-elevation), indicating ongoing myocardial ischaemia, particularly dynamic or unstable patterns
  - the presence of raised blood levels of markers of cardiac cell damage such as troponin.

- It is recommended that treatment with clopidogrel in combination with low-dose aspirin should be continued for up to 12 months after the most recent acute episode of non-ST-segment-elevation ACS (as defined above). Thereafter, standard care, including treatment with low-dose aspirin alone, is recommended.

## CLINICAL ALGORITHM(S)

None provided

## EVIDENCE SUPPORTING THE RECOMMENDATIONS

### TYPE OF EVIDENCE SUPPORTING THE RECOMMENDATIONS

For the assessment of the clinical effectiveness of clopidogrel alone or in combination with aspirin one randomised controlled trial (RCT) was identified (the Clopidogrel in Unstable Angina to Prevent Recurrent Events Trial Investigators [CURE]). The study was a randomised, double-blind, placebo controlled trial of high quality. A further five systematic reviews of varying quality examined the adverse events associated with long-term aspirin use.

Of the cost-effectiveness evidence reviewed, only the manufacturer's submission was considered relevant from the perspective of the National Health Service (NHS). The review of this evidence highlighted potential limitations within the submission in its use of data and in the model structure used. These limitations led to the development of a new model with the aim of providing a more reliable estimate of the cost-effectiveness from the perspective of the UK NHS.

## BENEFITS/HARMS OF IMPLEMENTING THE GUIDELINE RECOMMENDATIONS

### POTENTIAL BENEFITS

Appropriate use of clopidogrel for the prevention of further occlusive vascular events

### POTENTIAL HARMS

- Adverse events associated with clopidogrel and aspirin therapy including bleeding complications.
- Thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura has been reported very rarely following the use of clopidogrel. As with other antiplatelet agents, clopidogrel should be used with caution in people receiving treatment with other drugs that interfere with clotting.
- There is particular need for caution in patients who need to undergo surgery (such as urgent coronary artery bypass surgery) while being treated with clopidogrel. For elective procedures, in which an antiplatelet effect is not needed, clopidogrel should be discontinued 7 days before surgery.

For full details of side effects and contraindications, see the Summary of Product Characteristics, available at <http://emc.medicines.org.uk/>.



## CONTRAINDICATIONS

### CONTRAINDICATIONS

- As with other antiplatelet agents, clopidogrel should be used with caution in people receiving treatment with other drugs that interfere with clotting. (e.g. warfarin)
- There is particular need for caution in patients who need to undergo surgery (such as urgent coronary artery bypass surgery) while being treated with clopidogrel. For elective procedures, in which an antiplatelet effect is not needed, clopidogrel should be discontinued 7 days before surgery.

For full details of side effects and contraindications, see the Summary of Product Characteristics, available at <http://emc.medicines.org.uk/>.

## QUALIFYING STATEMENTS

### QUALIFYING STATEMENTS

This guidance represents the view of the Institute, which was arrived at after careful consideration of the available evidence. Health professionals are expected to take it fully into account when exercising their clinical judgement. This guidance does not, however, override the individual responsibility of health professionals to make appropriate decisions in the circumstances of the individual patient, in consultation with the patient and/or guardian or carer.

## IMPLEMENTATION OF THE GUIDELINE

### DESCRIPTION OF IMPLEMENTATION STRATEGY

#### Implementation and Audit

- Clinicians who care for people with acute coronary syndrome (ACS) should review their current practice and policies to take account of the guidance.
- Local guidelines or care pathways for people with ACS should incorporate the guidance.
- To measure compliance locally with the guidance, the following criteria could be used. Further details on suggestions for audit are presented in Appendix C of the original guideline document.
  - Clopidogrel, in combination with low-dose aspirin, is prescribed for the management of non-ST-segment-elevation ACS in an individual who is at moderate to high risk of myocardial infarction (MI) or death.
  - Treatment with clopidogrel in combination with low-dose aspirin is continued for up to 12 months after the most recent acute episode of non-ST-segment-elevation ACS.
- Local clinical audits on the care of patients with ACS could also include criteria relating to the management of ACS based on the national standards, including standards in the National Service Framework.

### IMPLEMENTATION TOOLS

Audit Criteria/Indicators  
Patient Resources  
Quick Reference Guides/Physician Guides

For information about [availability](#), see the "Availability of Companion Documents" and "Patient Resources" fields below.

## INSTITUTE OF MEDICINE (IOM) NATIONAL HEALTHCARE QUALITY REPORT CATEGORIES

### IOM CARE NEED

Living with Illness  
Staying Healthy

### IOM DOMAIN

Effectiveness  
Patient-centeredness

## IDENTIFYING INFORMATION AND AVAILABILITY

### BIBLIOGRAPHIC SOURCE(S)

National Institute for Clinical Excellence (NICE). Clopidogrel in the treatment of non-ST-segment-elevation acute coronary syndrome. London (UK): National Institute for Clinical Excellence (NICE); 2004 Jul. 24 p. (Technology appraisal; no. 80).

### ADAPTATION

Not applicable: The guideline was not adapted from another source.

### DATE RELEASED

2004 Jul

### GUIDELINE DEVELOPER(S)

National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence - National Government Agency [Non-U.S.]

### SOURCE(S) OF FUNDING

National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE)

### GUIDELINE COMMITTEE

Appraisal Committee

## COMPOSITION OF GROUP THAT AUTHORED THE GUIDELINE

Committee Members: Dr Jane Adam, Radiologist, St George's Hospital, London; Dr Sunil Angris, General Practitioner, Waterhouses Medical Practice, Staffordshire; Professor David Barnett (Chair) Professor of Clinical Pharmacology, University of Leicester; Professor Stirling Bryan, Professor of Health Economics, Health Economics Facility, Health Services Management Centre, University of Birmingham; Professor John Cairns, Professor of Health Economics, Health Economics Research Unit, University of Aberdeen; Professor David Chadwick, Professor of Neurology, Department of Neurological Science, Walton Centre for Neurology & Neurosurgery, Liverpool; Dr Lorna Duggan, Consultant Forensic Psychiatrist in Developmental Disabilities, St Andrew's Hospital, Northampton; Mrs Fiona Duncan, Clinical Nurse Specialist, Anaesthetic Department, Blackpool Victoria Hospital, Blackpool; Dr Paul Ewings, Statistician, Taunton & Somerset NHS Trust, Taunton; Dr Trevor Gibbs Head, Global Clinical Safety & Pharmacovigilance, GlaxoSmithKline, Greenford; Mr Sanjay Gupta, Stroke Services Manager, Basildon & Thurrock University Hospitals NHS Trust; Professor Philip Home (Vice-Chair) Professor of Diabetes Medicine, Department of Medicine, University of Newcastle upon Tyne; Dr Peter Jackson, Clinical Pharmacologist, Molecular & Clinical Pharmacology, University of Sheffield; Dr Terry John, General Practitioner, The Firs, London; Dr Mike Laker, Medical Director, Newcastle Hospitals NHS Trust, Royal Victoria Infirmary, Newcastle- Upon-Tyne; Dr George Levvy, Chief Executive, Motor Neurone Disease Association, Northampton; Professor Richard Lilford, Professor of Clinical Epidemiology, Department of Public Health & Epidemiology, University of Birmingham; Professor John Lumley, Honorary Consultant, The Ernest Cooke Clinic Microvascular Unit, Great Ormond Street, Bart's and the Royal London NHS Trust, Barbican, London; Dr Simon Mitchell, Consultant Neonatal Paediatrician, St Mary's Hospital, Manchester; Dr Virginia Pearson, Chief Executive, South Petherton Hospital, South Somerset PCT; Dr Christa Roberts, UK Manager, Vascular Intervention, Guidant Ltd; Dr Stephen Saltissi, Consultant Cardiologist, Royal Liverpool University Hospital; Dr Lindsay Smith, General Practitioner, Westlake Surgery, Somerset; Mr Mike Spencer, General Manager, Clinical Support Services, Cardiff and Vale NHS Trust; Dr Rod Taylor, Senior Lecturer, Department of Public Health & Epidemiology, University of Birmingham; Professor Mary Watkins, Professor of Nursing, University of Plymouth; Dr Norman Waugh, Department of Public Health, University of Aberdeen; Mrs Miranda Wheatley-Price, Director of Service Development, Colon Cancer Concern, London

## FINANCIAL DISCLOSURES/CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

Committee members are asked to declare any interests in the technology to be appraised. If it is considered there is a conflict of interest, the member is excluded from participating further in that appraisal.

## GUIDELINE STATUS

This is the current release of the guideline.

## GUIDELINE AVAILABILITY

Electronic copies: Available in Portable Document Format (PDF) format from the [National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence \(NICE\) Web site](#).

#### AVAILABILITY OF COMPANION DOCUMENTS

The following are available:

- Clopidogrel in the treatment of non-ST segment-elevation acute coronary syndrome. Quick reference guide. London (UK): National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE); 2004 Jul. 2 p. (Technology appraisal 80). Available in Portable Document Format (PDF) from the [National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence \(NICE\) Web site](#).
- A rapid and systematic review of the clinical effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of clopidogrel used in combination with aspirin compared to aspirin alone in the treatment of non-ST-segment-elevation acute coronary syndromes (ACS). Assessment report. Centre for Reviews and Dissemination, University of York; 2003 Nov. 180 p. Available in Portable Document Format (PDF) from the [NICE Web site](#).

Print copies: Available from the National Health Service (NHS) Response Line 0870 1555 455. ref: N0613. 11 Strand, London, WC2N 5HR.

Additionally, Audit Criteria can be found in Appendix C of the [original guideline document](#).

#### PATIENT RESOURCES

The following is available:

- Clopidogrel in the treatment of non-ST-segment-elevation acute coronary syndrome. Understanding NICE guidance - information for people with non-ST-segment-elevation acute coronary syndrome, their families and carers, and the public. London (UK): National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE); 2004 Jul. 10 p. (Technology appraisal 80).

Electronic copies: Available in Portable Document Format (PDF) from the [National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence \(NICE\) Web site](#).

Print copies: Available from the Department of Health Publications Order Line 0870 1555 455. ref: N0614. 11 Strand, London, WC2N 5HR.

Please note: This patient information is intended to provide health professionals with information to share with their patients to help them better understand their health and their diagnosed disorders. By providing access to this patient information, it is not the intention of NGC to provide specific medical advice for particular patients. Rather we urge patients and their representatives to review this material and then to consult with a licensed health professional for evaluation of treatment options suitable for them as well as for diagnosis and answers to their personal medical questions. This patient information has been derived and prepared from a guideline for health care professionals included on NGC by the authors or publishers of that original guideline. The patient information is not reviewed by NGC to establish whether or not it accurately reflects the original guideline's content.

#### NGC STATUS

This NGC summary was completed by ECRI on March 10, 2006.

The National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE) has granted the National Guideline Clearinghouse (NGC) permission to include summaries of their Technology Appraisal guidance with the intention of disseminating and facilitating the implementation of that guidance. NICE has not verified this content to confirm that it accurately reflects the original NICE guidance and therefore no guarantees are given by NICE in this regard. All NICE technology appraisal guidance is prepared in relation to the National Health Service in England and Wales. NICE has not been involved in the development or adaptation of NICE guidance for use in any other country. The full versions of all NICE guidance can be found at [www.nice.org.uk](http://www.nice.org.uk).

#### COPYRIGHT STATEMENT

This NGC summary is based on the original guideline, which is subject to the guideline developer's copyright restrictions.

#### DISCLAIMER

##### NGC DISCLAIMER

The National Guideline Clearinghouse™ (NGC) does not develop, produce, approve, or endorse the guidelines represented on this site.

All guidelines summarized by NGC and hosted on our site are produced under the auspices of medical specialty societies, relevant professional associations, public or private organizations, other government agencies, health care organizations or plans, and similar entities.

Guidelines represented on the NGC Web site are submitted by guideline developers, and are screened solely to determine that they meet the NGC Inclusion Criteria which may be found at <http://www.guideline.gov/about/inclusion.aspx>.

NGC, AHRQ, and its contractor ECRI make no warranties concerning the content or clinical efficacy or effectiveness of the clinical practice guidelines and related materials represented on this site. Moreover, the views and opinions of developers or authors of guidelines represented on this site do not necessarily state or reflect those of NGC, AHRQ, or its contractor ECRI, and inclusion or hosting of guidelines in NGC may not be used for advertising or commercial endorsement purposes.

Readers with questions regarding guideline content are directed to contact the guideline developer.

Date Modified: 9/25/2006